



## Cointegration and Causality Test Among Export, Import, and Foreign Exchange

Haryono Subiyakto<sup>1</sup>✉, Algifari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>STIE YKPN Yogyakarta

Permalink/DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15294/jejak.v9i1.7188>

Received: January 2016; Accepted: February 2016; Published: March 2016

### Abstract

The rupiah exchange rate, import, and export are the important indicators in economy, including the Indonesia economy. The debate regarding the relationship among the exchange rate, import, and export has been persisting for several decades. Some researchers found that there is a relationship among those three and others explained that there is no correlation among them. The aim of this research is to obtain the empirical evidence of the causal relationship among the export, import, and foreign exchange rate by using the monthly data from January 2010 to April 2014. The export and import data are the export and import values in US dollar. The exchange rate data is the median exchange rates of the Indonesian Bank. The Johansen Cointegration Test and the Granger Causality Test are used to analyze the data. The research result shows that export and import have no causal relationship at five percent. Next, the foreign exchange rate influences the export and import at 10 percent level. The result indicates that the foreign exchange rate has small effects on the export and import. Based on the results, the government should control the balance of trade and should not make any policy that is based on the exchange rate values. Finally, it can be said that the exchange rate policy is not effective in increasing the exports and reducing the imports.

**Keywords:** Exports, Imports, Foreign Exchange Rate, Johansen Cointegration Tests, Granger Causality Tests.

**How to Cite:** Subiyakto, H., & Algifari, A. (2016). Cointegration and Causality Test Among Export, Import, and Foreign Exchange. *JEJAK: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Kebijakan*, 9(1), 82-96. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.15294/jejak.v9i1.7188>

## INTRODUCTION

Foreign exchange rate is the value of domestic currency against the foreign-exchange value. For example, the rupiah exchange against the US dollar is Rp 13,850 / dollar. It means that each dollar of America is worth of Rp 13,850. The foreign exchange rates are always changing in a relatively short time, such as daily time. Everyday the central bank of a country provides the information to the society about the foreign exchange rate in effect on that day. The information on foreign exchange rate is normally used by the society for various purposes, including the export and import activities.

The stable rupiah exchange rate is very important for the economy of Indonesia. In 1997/1998, the time of crisis that was ever experienced by Indonesia led to the depreciation of the rupiah exchange rate, which reached Rp 14,900 per US dollar. The rupiah depreciation has made the entrepreneurs have difficulty in meeting the overseas obligations at the due date and to import the raw materials they need (Harahap, 2013). One of the factors that affects the exchange rate is the availability of foreign currency (foreign exchange reserves) held by Indonesia. The more foreign currency owned by Indonesia will result in the increased value of the rupiah against the foreign-exchange (rupiah is strengthened). This condition is called appreciated rupiah. On the contrary, if the foreign reserve owned by Indonesia is reduced, the value of the rupiah against the foreign-exchange will decrease (the depreciated rupiah).

The increase in Indonesian exports has a very important significance for the economy of Indonesia. Besides being able to stimulate the national production, the increase in

exports could increase the employment and the foreign-exchange revenues, mainly the US dollar. The increased revenue dollars to the Indonesian economy will increase the Indonesian foreign exchange reserves and will give impact on the strengthening of the rupiah against the US dollar.

The industry in Indonesia still requires the raw materials and auxiliary materials that come from abroad (imports). As long as the international transactions are still using the US dollar, the Indonesian import is strongly influenced by the exchange rate of rupiah against the US dollar. If the exchange rate of the rupiah against the US dollar decreases (the rupiah is strengthened), the prices of goods and services from abroad will become relatively cheaper. This will encourage the increase in Indonesian imports. On the contrary, if the rupiah against the US dollar increases (rupiah is weakened), the prices of goods and services coming from abroad will become relatively more expensive and the imports will decrease.

The dependence of industry in Indonesia for the goods and services from abroad (imports) makes the Indonesian ability to import affect the Indonesian ability to produce the goods and services. The Indonesian ability in producing the goods and services will affect the Indonesian ability to export. Thus, the higher the Indonesian ability to produce the goods and services is, the higher the Indonesian ability to export will be. The increase in exports will increase the foreign-exchange revenues, mainly the US dollar, and the foreign exchange reserves will increase. The increase in foreign exchange reserves will strengthen the value of the rupiah against the foreign currency (rupiah is strengthened).

The above description illustrates the causal relationships (influences) among the exports, the imports, and the rupiah exchange rate against the US dollar in Indonesia. Some researches on the causal relationship among the exchange rate, the exports and the imports have been conducted. Alam (2010) conducted a research on the relationship between the changes in the exchange rate against the export revenues in Bangladesh using the annual data from 1977 to 2005 using the cointegration model and the Granger Causality Test. The research has found an empirical evidence of the relationship between the changes in the exchange rates and the export revenues in Bangladesh. A research conducted by Al-Khulaifi (2013) about the relationship of exports and imports in the economy of Qatar using the annual data from 1980 to 2011 found an empirical evidence of the long-term relationship between exports and imports in the economy of Qatar. Khan, et al. (2012) conducted a research on the relationship between the exchange rate and the international trade of the Pakistan economy using the annual data from 1980 to 2009. The research result shows that there is a long-term relationship between the exchange rate and international trade of Pakistan. However, a research of Oyovwi (2012) about the relationship between the exchange change and the imports in the Nigerian economy found no empirical evidence of the influence of the exchange rate against the imports in the Nigerian economy.

Hakim (2012) examined the relationship among the export, the import, and the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the Financial Sector of Indonesian Banking using the data from the first Quartal (Q1) in 2000 to the

fourth Quartal (Q4) in 2011. The research found no relationship between the exports and imports in Indonesia. Sandu and Ghiba (2011) examined the relationship between the exports and the exchange rate on the Romania economy using the data in the foreign exchange rates and the export volumen from the second Quartal (Q2) in 2003 to the first Quartal (Q1) in 2011. The research result shows that there is a negative relationship on the first lag and the positive one on the second lag between the exports and the foreign exchange rates. Zakaria (2013) examined the relationship between the exchange rate volatility and the Malaysian exports to several countries. The research result shows the inconsistent mutual relationship. There is a significant mutual relationship between the volatility of the exchange rate and the exports for the Malaysian exports to the United States and Japan. The exchange-rate volatility gives negative and significant influence on the Malaysian exports to the United States, but it gives positive and significant influence on the Malaysian exports to Japan. It has no significant influence on the Malaysian exports to the UK and Singapore. A research was conducted by Kemal and Qadir (2005) on the economy of Pakistan, and the result found an empirical evidence of the long-term relationship among the exports, the imports, and the foreign exchange rates. The exchange rate has the negative relationship with the exports and the positive one with the imports. Gunes (2013) conducted a research on the Turkish economy about the relationship among the export, the import, and the exchange rate using the data of 2003: 1-2012: 8. The result shows the long-term mutual relationship of the exports and imports to the volatility of foreign exchange rates, and vice versa. However, the result of

the previous research conducted by Sekmen and Saribar (2007) using the data from 1998-2006 failed to find the empirical evidence of the influence of foreign exchange rates on the exports and imports in the Turkish economy.

Celik (2012) conducted a research on the long-term relationship between the exports and imports in the Turkish economy using the monthly data from 1990 to 2010. The research found an evidence empirical about the long-term relationship between the exports and imports. The research result of Uddin (2009) on the economy of Bangladesh using the Johansen Cointegration test found an empirical evidence of the two-way mutual relationship in the long term and there is a direct mutual relationship in the short term between the exports and imports. The result of this research is similar to the other research conducted by Mukhtar and Rasheed (2010) on the economy of Pakistan, which managed to find an empirical evidence of the two-way relationship between the exports and imports. However, a research of Konya and Singh (2008) on the Indian economy found no empirical evidence about the relationship between the exports and imports. Hakim (2011) conducted a research of the existence of cointegration between the exports and imports in the economy of Malaysia and Indonesia using the data for 45 years before and after the economic crisis in Asia. The research managed to obtain an empirical evidence of the long-term relationship between the exports and imports in the Malaysian economy. However, there is no long-term relationship between the exports and imports in the Indonesian economy.

## RESEARCH METHODS

This research aims to examine the causal relationship among the exports, the imports,

and the exchange rate of rupiah against the dollar of America in Indonesia. Export is the activity of issuing the goods / services from the customs area according to the rules and regulations in force. Customs area is the entire national territory of a country, in which the import and export duties are collected for all the goods that pass through the borderline of the region, except for certain parts in the region that explicitly (by law) are declared as a territory out of the customs. Import is the contrary of the export. The import of a country is an export of the trading partner countries. Imports can be meant as admitting the goods from abroad in accordance with the provisions of the government that are paid using the foreign exchange. Foreign exchange rate is the value of the domestic currency against the foreign-exchange value (Purnamawati and Fatmawati, 2013).

A country decides to trade with other countries because it aims to gain the benefit from the international trade (gain from trade). Countries that have a superior advantage over the certain goods will export (sell) the goods to other countries that do not have a comparative advantage (Setyowati, 2012). In the international trade, each transaction conducted by a country is assessed in US dollars. Similarly, the exports and imports of Indonesia are assessed with the American dollar, so the value of the American dollar in rupiah (exchange) is critical to the exports and imports of Indonesia.

The rupiah exchange rate against the US dollar (exchange rate) can affect the price of the exported goods. If the exchange rate of the rupiah against the US dollar increases (rupiah is weakened), the Indonesian exports will increase, because the weakening of the

rupiah against the US dollar makes the price of goods and services in Indonesia assessed by the US dollar decrease. On the contrary, if the rupiah exchange rate against the US dollar decreases (the rupiah is strengthened), the Indonesian exports will decrease, because the strengthening of the rupiah against the US dollar makes the price of goods and services of Indonesia assessed by the American dollar increase.

The data used to examine the causal relationship among the exports, the imports, and the foreign exchange rate in Indonesia is as follows: the value of exports is in US dollars, the value of imports is in US dollars, and the foreign exchange rate is the exchange rate of the rupiah against the US dollar monthly, from January 2010 to April 2014. The data on the values of exports, imports, and the exchange rate of rupiah against the US dollar are gained from the website of Bank Indonesia. The model used in this research is a model of Vector Autoregressive (VAR). In the VAR model, all the observed variables are independent and each variable is an endogenous variable. The general model VAR is as follows:

$$Y_t = \mu + \Gamma_1 Y_{t-1} + \Gamma_2 Y_{t-2} + \dots + \Gamma_p Y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t \quad (1)$$

$Y_t$  is the  $n \times 1$  matrix of the endogenous variables in the VAR model,  $\mu$  is the  $m \times 1$  matrix of the exogenous variables,  $\Gamma_i$  is the estimated coefficient matrix, and  $\varepsilon_t$  is the  $n \times 1$  matrix of the error terms.

VAR model requires that the data is stationary (Gujarati, 2009). The tests on the data stationary use the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF Test). The general formulation of ADF Test is as follows:

$$\Delta Y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 t + \delta Y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

$Y_t$  is the observed variables in period  $t$ ,  $Y_{t-1}$  is the variable value of  $Y$  in the previous period.  $\beta_1$  is a constant,  $\beta_2$  is the coefficient of the trend,  $\alpha_i$  is the lag variable coefficient of  $Y$ ,  $m$  is the length of lag, and  $\varepsilon_t$  is the white noise error terms. The null hypothesis states that  $\delta = 0$ . This means that  $Y_t$  has a unit roots. If the data of a variable has unit roots, it can be concluded that the variable data is not stationary. If the research data is not stationary at level, the stationary test is continued on the first difference. The stationary research data at different levels need to be examined by the cointegration test. Cointegration is a combination of a linear relationship of the non-stationary variables and all variables must be integrated on the same degree. The integrated variables have the same stochastic trend and the same direction of movement in the long term (Enders, 2004).

The cointegration relationship test among the variables of research conducted uses the Johansen's Multivariate Cointegration Test. The general model of the Johansen's Multivariate Cointegration Test is as follows:  $Z_t = A_1 Z_{t-1} + \dots + A_k Z_{t-k} + \Phi D_t + \mu + \varepsilon_t$ .  $A_1$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix coefficient,  $\mu$  is a constant,  $D_t$  is the seasonal dummy variable that is orthogonal to the constant  $\mu$  and  $\varepsilon_t$  is assumed to be independent and identically distributed based on the Gaussian process (Enders, 2004). The VAR model used to analyze the causal relationship among the variables of research needs to determine the optimal inaction (lag). Having found the optimal inaction, estimated the VAR estimation model needs to test the stability. The determining of the optimal inaction of the VAR estimation is conducted through AIC (Akaike Information Criterion), SIC (Schwarz Information Criterion) and LR (Likelihood

Ratio). In Ender (2004) it is described the criteria of optimal inaction (lag) of the VAR or VAC models indicated by the smallest value of AIC, SIC, or LR.

While the VAR system stability test is conducted by creating AR Roots Table and Modulus. The VAR system stability can be known from the value of inverse roots of the polynomial function characteristic as follows:  $\text{Det} (1 - A_1Z - A_2Z^2 - A_3Z^3 - \dots - A_pZ^p)$ , where  $1$  is the identity matrix with the order  $M \times M$ . If all the roots of the polynomial function are in the unit circle or all modulus are located under one, then the VAR system is called stable. The VAR estimation model needs to test the stability of the VAR estimation equation. The unstable VAR estimation equation makes the analysis of impulse response functions and the analysis of variance decomposition invalid. The test on the VAR estimation equation is conducted.

The next steps are the Analysis of Impulse Response Function and the Analysis of Variance Decomposition. The Analysis of Impulse Response Function (IRF) is conducted to determine the direction of relationships and the influence of an endogenous variable on various endogenous variables in the VAR dynamic system. By using the IRF it can find the effect of the shock that occurs in one of the endogenous variables to other endogenous ones. IRF also be used to explore the effect of one deviation standard of shock on an endogenous variable to the value of endogenous variable currently or in the future. A shock from an endogenous variable can be caused by the new information entering at that time and will directly give influence on the endogenous variable itself and also against other endogenous variables through the VAR dynamic

structure. This analysis will know the forms of response (positive or negative) of a variable to the other ones.

In VAR systems it needs to know the characteristics and the dynamic behavior of the system. Variance Decomposition (VDC) can be used to understand the characteristics and the dynamic behavior of the VAR system. In the previous description, the Impulse Response Functions (IRF) can be used to track the effect of a shock (impulse) that occurs on an endogenous variable in the system, while the VDC can be used to separate the variants present in the endogenous variables into the shock components on various other endogenous variables in the VAR dynamic structure. Besides, the variance decomposition can also be used to compile the estimation of error variance of an endogenous variable, which is a big difference between the previous variance and the variance after the shock, whether the shock from the variable itself or the shock from the other variables. In other words, VDC can be used to analyze the relative influence of a variable to another variables. This can be done by measuring the percentage of shock (shock) on each variable. For example, if there is a shock on a variable, the changes can be explained by measuring the percentage of those shocks, whether the shock comes from (affected by) the variable itself and how many percentages that come from (affected by) other variables. VDC indicates the strength of the Granger causality relationship that may exist among the various variables being observed. Sims (1980) stated that the indications of the strong Granger causal relationships will be detected if a variable explains a large portion of the forecast error variance of another variable or vice versa.

This research uses three variables: the export, the import, and the foreign exchange rate. Based on the general form of the VAR model, this research uses of the VAR model as follows:

$$\text{EXPORT}_t = \beta_{10} + \beta_{11}(L) \text{EXPORT} + \beta_{12}(L)\text{IMPORT}_t + \beta_{13}(L)\text{EXCHANGE RATE}_t + \varepsilon_{1,t}$$

$$\text{IMPORT}_t = \beta_{20} + \beta_{21}(L) \text{EXPORT} + \beta_{22}(L)\text{IMPORT}_t + \beta_{23}(L)\text{EXCHANGE RATE}_t + \varepsilon_{2,t}$$

$$\text{EXCHANGE RATE}_t = \beta_{30} + \beta_{31}(L) \text{EXPORT} + \beta_{32}(L)\text{IMPORT}_t + \beta_{33}(L)\text{EXCHANGE RATE}_t + \varepsilon_{3,t}$$

EXPORT is an export, IMPORT is an import, and the EXCHANGE RATE is the rupiah exchange rate against the US dollar, L is the lag,  $\varepsilon$  is the error, and t is the period.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The use of the VAR model requires the data analyzed to be stationary. Table 1 presents the statistical value and the conclusion of the data stationary test result of the export, the import, and the exchange rate by the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test at the level.

The t statistical values for the data stationary test of the export and import are larger than the absolute t critical value at the significance level of 5%. The absolute t statistical value of the export data is 2.946869 and of the import data is 2.960516. The absolute t critical value at the 5%

significance level is 2.919952. The result of the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test shows that the null hypothesis stating that the export data and the import data have unit roots is rejected. The test result shows that export data and the import data are also stationary at the level. The MacKinnon probability value is smaller than the 5% significance level, which indicates that the export data and the import data are stationary at the level. While the absolute t statistical value of the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for the exchange rate data is 1.451557 smaller than the absolute t critical value of 2.921175. These test results indicate that the exchange rate data has the roots units. In other words, the exchange rate data is not stationary at the level. For the exchange data stationary test it is continued at the level of the first difference. Table 2 below shows the result of the exchange rate data stationary test with the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test at the level of the first difference.

The absolute t statistical value of the exchange rate data is 5.077554, while the absolute t critical at significance level of 5% is 2.922449. The results of the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test indicates that the null hypothesis stating that the exchange rate data of the imports has the roots units is rejected. The test results indicate that the exchange rate data is stationary at the first difference.

**Table 1.** Data Stationary Test of Export, Import, and Exchange Rate at Level

Variables	t-Statistical	Prob. (MacKinnon)	t-Critical (5%)	Explanations
EXPORT	-2,946869	0,0470	-2,919952	Stasionary
IMPORT	-2,960516	0,0456	-2,919952	Stasionary
Exchange Rate	-1,451557	0,5496	-2,921175	Not Stasionary

Source: *Data processed.*

**Table 2.** Exchange Rate Stationary Test at *First Difference*

Variables	t-Statistical	Prob. (MacKinnon)	t-Critical (5%)	Explanation
Exchange Rate	-5,077554	0,0001	-2,922449	Stasionary

Source: *Data processed.*

The results of the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test show that the exports and imports are stationary at the level, while the exchange rate is stationary at the first difference. The research data that are not stationary at the same level need to take the cointegration test. Table 3 below illustrates the results of the cointegration test using the Johansen Cointegration Test.

The cointegration test results using the Johansen Cointegration Test conclude that there is no cointegration among the variables of the research. The estimation model that

will be used to test the causal relationship among the exports, the imports, and the exchange rate in this research uses the VAR model.

Before determining the estimation equations, it needs to make an analysis of the optimal inaction (lag) of the VAR model among the exports, the imports, and the foreign exchange rate in this research. Table 4 in the following is the result of data processing to obtain the value of AIC (Akaike Information Criterion), SIC (Schwarz Information Criterion) and LR (Likelihood Ratio).

**Table 3.** *Johansen Cointegration* among Export, Import, and Exchange Rate

Ho	H1	Statistical Value	Critical Value (5%)	Probability
Trace Statistics				
$r = 0$	$r = 1$	27,09131	29,79707	0,0994
$r = 1$	$r = 2$	10,13686	15,49471	0,2704
Maximum Eigenvalue Statistics				
$r = 0$	$r > 0$	16,95445	21,13162	0,1742
$r \leq 0$	$r > 0$	9,336740	14,26460	0,2592

The trace test indicates no cointegration at the 0.05 level

The Max-eigenvalue test indicates no cointegration at the 0.05 level

Source: *Data processed*

**Table 4.** Inaction (Lag) Order of VAR Model

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-1072.536	NA	1.15e+17	47.80160	47.92204	47.84650
1	-1053.547	34.60190	7.42e+16	47.35765	47.83943*	47.53725
2	-1040.041	22.80963	6.11e+16	47.15740	48.00051	47.47170
3	-1026.652	20.82796*	5.10e+16*	46.96231*	48.16675	47.41132*
4	-1021.920	6.729804	6.35e+16	47.15201	48.71778	47.73571
5	-1015.806	7.880210	7.56e+16	47.28027	49.20738	47.99868
6	-1010.816	5.765992	9.70e+16	47.45850	49.74694	48.31161

\* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

Source: *Results of data processing by EViews*



The result of the data processing indicates that the optimal inaction (lag) is 3. This is indicated by the most \* signs of the calculation results is seen in inaction (lag) 3. Thus the VAR model used to analyze the causal relationship among the exports, the imports, and the rupiah exchange rate against the US dollar is the VAR model with the inaction 3.

The VAR system stability test is conducted using the root values and the modulus values in The AR Roots Table and the Modulus. The stable VAR system is indicated by the absolute root value that is smaller than the modulus value, and the modulus value that is smaller than 1. Table 5 below VAR shows the results of the VAR system stability test using the root values and the modulus value at the VAR equation with the inaction (lag) 3.

**Table 5.** Root Values and VAR Modulus Value at Inaction 3

Root	Modulus
0,530599 - 0.609744i	0,808285
0,530599 + 0.609744i	0,808285
-0,390557 - 0.657125i	0,764427
-0,390557 + 0.657125i	0,764427
0,179939 - 0.680416i	0,703806
0,179939 + 0.680416i	0,703806
-0,554501 - 0.202185i	0,590212
-0,554501 + 0.202185i	0,590212
-0,245264	0,245264

No root lies outside the unit circle.

VAR satisfies the stability condition.

Source: *Results of data processing by EViews*

The calculation results of the data in Table 5 for the VAR equation with the inaction 3 indicate that the absolute root value is smaller than the modulus value, and the modulus value is smaller than 1. These

results show that the research data is stable at the inaction.

The analysis of the Impulse Response Function is conducted to determine the effects of disturbance (shock) of a variable against the variable itself and against the other variables. Figure 1 in the appendix shows the response of the exports to the shock by one standard deviation that occurs on the export itself, on the imports, and on the exchange rate. The export responds to the shock on itself by fluctuating. In the first and second months the response of the exports is negative and in the significant third month the response is positive, then in the fourth month the response is negative again, it is positive at the fifth and sixth months, but the response starts weakening. The export value begins to be stable in the seventh month.

The shock on the imports is responded negatively and positively by the exports, but great. The exports will be stable from the sixth month. While the export response to the changes in exchange rate in the early month is large enough, but the response of the exports runs long enough. That means, if there is a change in the exchange rate, the exports will be stable again and require a relatively long time. The exports will be stable as a result of the changes in the exchange rate in the 11th month.

The imports respond to the shock of the exports by falling and rising relatively sharply. In the first and second month due to the shock at one standard deviation that occurs in the exports, this will be responded negatively by the imports and positively at the fifth month. The imports will be stable after the seventh month. It is similar to the response of imports due to the shock of the standard deviation of the imports that will be

responded fluctuatively by the import itself and will be stable after the seventh month. The exchange rate response to the changes in the imports is long enough until the exchange rate is stable in the eleventh month.

The exchange rate responds up and down due to the shock on the exports by one standard of deviation. The exchange rate responds positively until the third month and responds negatively in the fourth month, after that it fluctuates until the eleventh month. After the eleventh month, the exchange rate begins to be stable. The response of the exchange rate to the changes in the exchange rate of one standard of deviation is enough up to the fifth month and the fluctuation is weakening after the fifth month. The exchange rate begins to be stable in the thirteenth month. The exchange rate responds to the changes in the exchange rate of one standard of deviation with very large fluctuations. The exchange rate will respond negatively very large up to the fifth month. After the fifth month, it rises up to the eighth month and then falls back after the eighth month. The exchange rate will be stable again after the seventeenth month.

The analysis of variance decomposition aims to determine the amounts of portion and the time interval of the influence of the shock on a variable against the variable itself and against other variables. Table 1 and Figure 2 in the Appendix show the amounts of the portion and the time interval of the influence of the changes in the exports, the imports, and the exchange rate towards the changes in the exports, the imports, and the exchange rate. The calculation results show that in the first month the changes in the export is 100% a portion of the change in the export itself and there is no portion of

the change in the import and the exchange rate. In the second month the change in the export is caused by 92.8% from the change in the export itself, 0.5% from the change in the import, and 6.7% came from the change in the exchange rate. The portion of influence of the changes in the export, the import, and the exchange rate towards the change in the export is constant from the seventh month, respectively 89% from the change in the export itself, 3% from the change in the import, and 8% from the change in the exchange rate.

The change in the import in the first month mostly is from the change in the export and in the import itself. While the change in the exchange rate gives no portion to the change in the import. In the first month, the change in the export has a portion of 53% and in the import itself it has 47% of the change in the import. The portion of the changes in the export, the import, and the exchange rate is constant from the tenth month, which is 47% from the change in the export, 41% from the change in the import, and 12% from the change in the exchange rate.

The change in the exchange rate in the first month has the largest portion from the change in the exchange rate itself of 95.1%. While the portion from the changes in the export and the import respectively are 4.6% and 0.3%. The portion of influence of the changes in the export and the import changes towards the change in the exchange rate is getting bigger, while the portion of the change in the exchange rate itself is getting smaller. The portion of influence of the change of the exchange rate towards the changes in the export, in the import, and in the exchange rate itself is constant from the eighth month, respectively 7% from the

change in the export, 10% from the change in the import, and 83% from the change in the exchange rate itself.

The results of the Granger causality test among the changes in the export, the import, and the exchange rate with the inaction 3 are presented in Table 6, Table 7 and Table 8. Table 6 shows the results of the Granger causality test between the changes in the export and in the import.

**Table 6.** Granger Causality Test between Changes in Export and in Import

Null Hypothesis	Obs.	F Statistical Value	Prob.
Change in import does not make change in export	48	0,50579	0,6805
Change in export does not make change in import		1,35392	0,2703

Source: *Data processed*

The hypothesis testing of the influence of the change in the import towards the change in the export with the Granger causality test formulates the null hypothesis stating that the change in the import does not make the change in the export. While the alternative hypothesis states that the change in the import makes the change in the export. The F statistical value for the causality test of the changes in the import towards the change in the export is 0.50579 with a probability value of 0.6805. The hypothesis testing of the influence of the change in the export towards the change in the import formulates the null hypothesis that the change in the export does not make the change in the import. The alternate hypothesis states that the change in the export makes the change in the import. The F statistical value for the causality test of the change in the import towards the change in

the export is 1.35392 with a probability value of 0.2703.

The calculation results of the Granger causality test between the changes in exchange rate and in the export with the inaction 3 are presented in Table 7.

**Table 7.** Granger Causality Test between Changes in Exchange Rate and in Export

Null Hypothesis	Obs.	F Statistical Value	Prob.
Change in exchange rate does not make change in export	48	2,77408	0,0543
Change in export does not make change in exchange rate		2,07115	0,1189

Source: *Data processed*

The hypothesis testing the influence of the change in the exchange rate towards the change in the export with the Granger causality test formulates the null hypothesis stating that the change in the exchange rate does not make the change in the export. and the alternative hypothesis stating that the change in the exchange rate makes the change in the export. The F statistical value for the Granger causality test of the change in the exchange rate towards the change in the export is 2.77408 with a probability value of 0.0543. The hypothesis testing of the influence of the change in the export to the change in the exchange rate formulates the null hypothesis stating that the change in the export does not make the change in the exchange rate. The alternative hypothesis states that the change in the export makes the change in the exchange rate. The F statistical value for the causality test of the change in the export towards the change in

the exchange rate is 2.07115 with a probability value of 0.1189.

Table 8 presents the calculation results of the Granger causality test between the changes in the exchange rate and in the import with the inaction 3.

**Table 8.** Granger Causality Test between Changes in Exchange Rate and in Import

Null Hypothesis	Obs.	F Statistical Value	Prob.
Change in exchange rate does not make change in import	48	2,63706	0.0624
Change in import does not make change in exchange rate		1,12341	0.3508

Source: *Data processed*

The hypothesis testing of the influence the change in the exchange rate towards the change in the import by the Granger causality test formulates the null hypothesis stating that the change in the exchange rate does not make the change in the import, and the alternative hypothesis stating that the change in the exchange rates makes the change in the import. The F statistical value for the Granger causality test of th change in the exchange rate towards the change in the import is 2.63706 with a probability value of 0.0624. The hypothesis testing of the influence of the change in the import towards the change in the exchange rate formulates the null hypothesis stating that the change in the import do not make the change in the exchange rate, and the alternative hypothesis states that the change in the import makes the change in the exchange rate. The F statistical value for the causality test of the change in the import

towards the change in the exchange rate is 1.12341 with the probabillity value of 0.3508.

This research aims to examine the causal relationship among the exports, the imports, and the exchange rate using the Granger causality test. The testing on the data stationary of the export, the import, and and the exchange rate uses the Augmented Dickey-Fuller Tests. The testing results of the data stationary indicate that the export data and import data are stationary at the level, while the data of the exchange rate is stationary at the level of the first difference. The results of the Joahnsen cointegration test show that the data of the export, the import, and the exchange rate have no cointegration relationship, so that the estimation of the VAR model uses the data of difference.

The analysis result shows the level of optimal inaction (lag) of the VAR estimation model is at the inaction 3. The stability test result of the VAR estimation model with the inaction 3 using the AR root and modulus table shows that the VAR estimation model with the inaction 3 is stable. The stable VARsystem is shown by the smaller root absolute value than the modulus value, and the modulus value is smaller than 1. Thus, the VAR estimation model at the inaction 3 is valid.

The results of the Granger causality test of the change in the export towards the change in the import indicate that the F statistical value is 0.50579 with a probability value of 0.6805. With a significance level of 5%, this testing receives the null hypothesis stating that the change in the export does not make the change in the import. The F statistical value for the causality test of the change in the import towards the change in the export is 1.35392 with a probability value of 0.2703. With a significance level of 5%, the

testing receives the null hypothesis stating that the change in the import does not make the change in the export.

The results of the Granger causality test of the change in the exchange rate towards the change in the export show that the F statistical value is 2.77408 with a probability value of 0.0543. With a significance level of 5%, the testing receives the null hypothesis stating that the change in the exchange rate does not make the change in the export. However, at a significance level of 10%, this testing rejects the null hypothesis. That means, the change in the exchange rates makes the change in the export at a significance level of 10%. The hypothesis testing of the influence of the change in the export towards the change in the exchange rate shows that the F statistical value is 2.07115 with a probability value of 0.1189. With a significance level of 5%, the testing receives the null hypothesis stating that the change in the export does not make the change in the exchange rate.

The results of the Granger causality test of the change in the exchange rate towards the change in the import obtain the F statistical value as 2.63706 with a probability value of 0.0624. With a significance level of 5%, the testing receives the null hypothesis stating that the change in the exchange rate do not make the change in the import. But at the significance level of 10%, the testing rejects the null hypothesis. That means, the change in the exchange rate makes the change in the import at a significance level of 10%. The hypothesis testing of the influence of the change in the import towards the change in the exchange rate with the Granger causality test obtains the F statistic as 1.12341 with a probability value of 0.3508. With a significance level of 5%, the testing

receives the null hypothesis that the change in the import do not make the change in the exchange rate.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the Granger causality test, there are several conclusions as follows, (1) The changes in the exports do not make the changes in the imports, and on the contrary, the changes in the imports also do not make the changes in the exports at a significance level of 5%. In other words, between the changes in the exports and in the imports there is no causal relationship, (2) The changes in the exchange rate do not make the changes in the exports at a significance level of 5%, but the changes in the exchange rate make the changes in the exports at a significance level of 10%. The changes in the exports do not make the changes in the exchange rate at the significance level of 5% or at the significance level of 10%. In other words, the changes in the exchange rate and in the exports have a causal relationship in one direction at a significance level of 10% in which the changes in the exchange rate can make the changes in the exports, (3) The changes in the exchange rate do not make the changes in the imports at a significance level of 5%, but the changes in the exchange rate make the changes in the imports at a significance level of 10%. The changes in the imports do not make the changes in the exchange rate at a significance level of 5% and at a significance level of 10%. In other words, between the changes in the exchange rate and the changes in the imports there is a causal relationship in one direction at the significance level of 10%, in which the changes in the exchange rate can make the changes in the imports.

## REFERENCES

- Alam, Rafayet (2010). The Link between Real Exchange Rate and Export Earning: A Cointegration and Granger Causality Analysis on Bangladesh. *International Review of Business Research Papers* Vol.6, No.1. pp.205-214.
- Al-Khulaifi, Abdulla S. (2013).Exports and Imports in Qatar: Evidence from Cointegration and Error Correction Model. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 3(9). pp. 1122-1133.
- Bank Indonesia. <http://www.bi.go.id/id/Default.aspx>.
- Celik, Tuncay (2011). Long-run Relationship between Export and Import: Evidence from Turkey for the Period 1990-2010. *International Conference On Applied Economics – ICOAE 2011*. pp. 119-124.
- Enders, W. (2004). *Applied Econometric Time Series*. Wiley, New York.
- Gujarati, D. and Porter C. Dawn (2009). Basic Econometrics. Fifth Edition. *Mc.Graw-Hill, New York*.
- Gunes, Şahabettin (2010). The Effect of Exchange Rates on the International Trade in Turkey. *European Journal of Economic and Political Studies*, 6 (1), 2013. pp. 85-95.
- Hakim, Rahman (2012). Hubungan Ekspor, Impor, dan Produk Domestik Bruto Sektor Keuangan Perbankan Indonesia Periode 200:Q1-2011:Q4: Suatu Pendekatan dengan Model Autoregressive (VAR). Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Indonesia. Tesis. Tidak diterbitkan.
- Harahap, Siti Romida. (2013). Deteksi Dini Krisis Nilai Tukar Indonesia: Identifikasi Variabel Makro Ekonomi. *JEJAK Journal of Economic and Policy* Vol 6 No 1.
- Konya, Laszlo and Jai Pal Singh (2008). Are Indian Exports and Imports Cointegrated? *Applied Econometrics and International Development*. Vol. 8 No. 2. pp. 178-186.
- Kemal, M. Ali and Qadir, Usman (2005). Real Exchange Rate, Exports, and Imports Movements: A Trivariate Analysis. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 4:2. pp. 177-195.
- Khan, Rana Ejaz Ali, Rashid Sattar, dan Hafeez ur Rehman (2012). Effectiveness of Exchange Rate in Pakistan: Causality Analysis. *Pak. J. Commer. Social Science*. Vol. 6 (1). pp. 83-96.
- Mukhtar, Tahir dan Sarwat Rasheed (2010). Testing Relationship between Exports dan Imports: Evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Economics Cooperation and Development*, 31, 1. pp. 41-58.
- Oyovwi, O. Dickson (2012). Exchange Rate Volatility and Imports in Nigeria. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studie* Vol 1 No 2. pp. 103-114.
- Purnamawati dan Fatmawati (2013). *Ekspor Impor: Teori, Praktik, dan Prosedur*. UPT STIM Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta.
- Sandu, Carmen and Nicolae Ghiba (2011). The Relationship between Exchange Rate and Export in Romania Using a Vector Autoregressive Model. *Annales Universitatis Apulensis Series Oeconomica*, 13(2).p.p. 476-482
- Sekmen, Fuat dan Saribar, Hakan (2007). Conintegration and Causality Among Exchange, Export, and Import: Empirical Evidence from Turkey. *Applied Econometrics and International Development* Vol.7-2 (2007). pp. 71-83.
- Setyowati, Endang dkk (2012). *Ekonomi Mikro Pengantar*. Edisi 2. BP STIE YKPN Yogyakarta. Yogyakarta.
- Sims, C. A. (1980). Macroeconomics and reality. *Econometrica*, 48(1):1-49.
- Uddin, Jasim (2009). Time Series Behavior of Imports and Exports of Bangladesh: Evidence from Cointegration Analysis and Error Correction Model. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*. Vol. 1 No. 2. p.p. 156-162.
- Zakaria, Zukarnain (2013). The Relationship between Export and Exchange Rate Volatility: Empirical Evidence Based on the Trade between Malaysia and Its Major Trading Partners. *Journal of Emerging Issues in Economics, Finance and Banking* Volume:2 No.2. pp. 668-684.